

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,980	03/31/2004	Edward Wells Knowlton	1000 -010	5920
25213 HELLER EHR	7590 01/31/2008 MANIIP		EXAM	INER
HELLER EHRMAN LLP 275 MIDDLEFIELD ROAD			SWEET, THOMAS	
MENLO PARI	K, CA 94025-3506		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3774	
		,		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	٠		01/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/813,980	KNOWLTON, EDWARD WELLS				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas J. Sweet	3774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 14 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO				
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension fee under 37 final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) on, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS	but prior to the data of filing a brid	f will not be entered because				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further contains</li> </ol>	but prior to the date of filling a brie	ore below).				
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li> </ul>						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>Double patenting and 35 USC 112 (2<sup>nd</sup>)</u>.</li> </ul>						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	allowable if submitted in a separate	timely filed amendment canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-39</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but the second	out before or on the date of filing a	Notice of Appeal will not be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	wit or other evidence is necessary				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.</li> </ol>						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:						
		/Thomas J Sweet/ Primary Examiner AU 3774				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner rejected the limitation (" used to shape a thermal lesion") as if it was positively claimed. Positioning by hand is inherent as in positioning of the patient for access and liposuction is well known for providing aesthetic shaping. Additionally, the application discloses liposuction. Claims are intrepreted in light of the disclosure, but limitations from the disclosure are not incorporated in the claim. The rejections under 35 usc 102 and 103 are maintained..